Reactive airways dysfunction syndromes: a case report
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Reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) has been known since 1985 when Brooks and colleagues described ten patients who developed asthmatic symptoms after a single exposure to high levels of an irritating gas, fume or smoke. We report herein a case of RADS from an incident of smoke inhalation. The patient was a 29 year old Thai male working as a labourer in a chemical storage room at Klong Toey Port. He had been well until 1992 when a major fire occurred at the port. Chemicals that burned included methyl bromide and formaldehyde. He received 15% second degree burns and smoke inhalation. He was admitted to the Burn Unit, Chulalongkorn Hospital. During admission he experienced acute asthmatic attacks and given a bronchodilator and corticosteroids. He was then documented as having bronchial hyperresponsiveness by methacholine inhalation challenge.
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นับตั้งแต่ปี ก.ศ. 1985 ที่ Brook และคณะฯ ได้รายงานผู้ป่วยจำนวน 10 ราย ที่มีอาการพอตศัตรูพิศ เกิดจากการได้รับเชื้อสีขาวสารทัตตาไลเนียร์ กิ่งชั้น ฝีมีด หรือคอริน เฟรน และได้สรุปว่าผู้ป่วยกลุ่มอาการพอตคอมเมสติก
ผสมของพอตศัตรูพิศมีไม่สามารถอาการเท่ากับกลุ่มอาการพอตคอมเมสติกของ
พอตศัตรูพิศภักดี ได้รับการตอบกลับอย่างต่อเนื่อง 29 ปี ที่สำคัญในกลุ่มผู้ติดเชื้อของที่ต่อมรังของคอและ
ได้รับการตอบกลับของฝีมีดกิ่งชั้น ฝีมีดกลับเก็บสารเคมีเจ้าพวก methyl bromide และ formaldehyde ผู้ป่วยเป็นบาดแผล
ให้ใหม่ และดูดครันไฟจากการต่อต้านการแลกเปลี่ยนที่ต่อมรังของคอและ
ติดเชื้อในเข้ารอบอยู่ในโรงพยาบาล ผู้ป่วยมีอาการพอตคอมเมสติก
เพิ่มขึ้นในเข้ารอบอยู่ในโรงพยาบาล และมีอาการตอบกลับที่ต่อมรังของคอและ
corticosteroid มาโดยตลอด โดยที่ผู้ป่วยไม่เคยมีอาการของโรคพอตคอมเมสติกก่อนแล้
In 1985, the characteric post-irritant injury asthma-like syndrome was described by Brooks and his colleagues who named the condition "Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome (RADS)." It refers to irritant-induced asthma initiated by a single exposure to a high concentration of irritating gas, fumes or smoke. In most instances, the exposure was the result of an accident occurring in the workplace or in a situation where there was poor ventilation in the area. The subjects usually had no apparent preexisting respiratory illnesses. Asthmatic symptoms developed within a few hours after exposure and persisted for many years. A pulmonary function test might be normal or show evidence of airflow obstruction. All patients had airways hyperreactivity documented by a positive test of methacholine inhalation challenge.

Over the past several years, a lot of accidents in the workplace, especially fires, have occurred in Thailand. However, there had been no case reports of RADS in this country before. We had occasion to care for a patient who was a victim in the major fire at Klong Toey Port several years ago. Following that incident of chemical smoke inhalation, he developed asthmatic symptoms which were consistent with the reactive airways dysfunction syndrome.

A case report

A 29-year-old Thai male was referred to the Chest Unit, Chulalongkorn Hospital because of dry cough and intermittent breathlessness with wheezing for two years. He was a nonsmoker and he had no previous history of respiratory disease. He worked as a labourer in the storehouse at Klong Toey Port. He was well until late in 1992 when there was a major fire incident at the storehouse which had many kinds of chemical substances such as methylbromide and formaldehyde. He was a fire victim with burned skin and smoke inhalation. He was admitted to the Burn Unit, Chulalongkorn Hospital. Physical examination revealed that he had 15 percent of second degree burns on both arms and trunk. He was conscious but with respiratory distress and a respiratory rate of 28/min, pulse rate 120/min, blood pressure 130/90 mmHg and body temperature 37.8°C. Chest examination revealed rhonchi and crackles in both lungs. Other examinations were within normal limits.

A complete blood count showed Hct 45%, WBC 12500/cu mm., with neutrophil 85% and lymphocytes 15%. Arterial blood gases were pH 7.52, P_{O_2} 102 mmHg (on mask with bag 10 LPM) P_{CO_2} 28 mmHg. The chest roentgenogram was quite normal.

At that time he was diagnosed with 15% second degree burns and smoke inhalation. He was treated with cloxacillin, dexamethasone, and a nebulized bronchodilator and he displayed clinical improvement. He was discharged with Salbutamol MDI after three weeks of hospitalization.

During two years of irregular follow-ups he still had dyspnea on exertion and intermittent wheezing with dry cough. The symptoms were improved by a bronchodilator but sometimes they were severe enough to cause a visit to the emergency room.

At the Chest Clinic, his physical examination revealed normal chest findings. He also had been reviewed for history of allergic disease and there was no atopy in his family. Skin testing for common Aeroallergens such as house dust, mites or cockroach as showed negative results. Eosinophil was not found
in his sputum examination. A spirometry was quite normal with FVC 4.51 L (112%), FEV1 3.25 L, %FEV1/FVC 70%, PEFR 8 LPS.

A methacholine inhalation challenge (MIC) was performed using the method as previously described. It was positive with the PC20 of 0.5 mg/ml. He was then diagnosed as having RADS or irritant-induced asthma. He was administered inhaled budesonide 400 µg twice daily with β2 agonist when needed.

He still had some breathlessness during exertion but less occurrences of acute asthmatic attack. Six months after the administration of inhaled corticosteroids, MIC was performed again and some improvement was found with the PC20 of 1.5 mg/ml (three doubling concentration increasing) a shown in Figure 1.

Discussion

This is the first known case of RADS in Thailand. The diagnosis is definite based on a history of asthmatic symptoms beginning shortly after exposure to a high level of toxic substance fire smoke and demonstration of persistent nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) measured by the methacholine inhalation challenge.

RADS was first reported by Brooks and colleagues in 1985 to describe 10 previously healthy subjects who developed symptoms of asthma and nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness after exposure to high levels of an irritant vapor, fume or smoke. (1)

The diagnosis of RADS is based on asthmatic symptom and the demonstration of persistent nonspecific BHR. The criteria for the diagnosis of
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RADS is shown in Table 1. It stresses on a documented absence of earlier respiratory disease and the onset of symptoms occurring shortly (within hours) after a single exposure to a high level of an irritant aerosol or gas. It also stresses that the asthmatic symptoms and nonspecific BHR would persist for at least 3 months, usually longer, and may not end. However, Bardana\textsuperscript{(6)} has recently proposed new diagnostic criteria for the diagnosis of RADS that includes a requirement for histologic study showing minimal lymphocytic inflammation without eosinophilia, as shown in Table 2.

**Table 1.** Original criteria for the diagnosis of RADS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A documented absence of preceding respiratory complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The onset of symptoms occurred after a single specific exposure incident or accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The exposure was to a gas, smoke, fume, or vapor that was present in very high concentrations and had irritant qualities to its nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The onset of symptoms occurred within 24 h after the exposure and persisted for at least 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms simulated asthma with cough, wheezing, and dyspnea predominating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary function tests may show airflow obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacholine challenge testing was positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other types of pulmonary diseases were ruled out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Criteria for the diagnosis of RADS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total absence of preceding respiratory disease in a nonatopic person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented exposure to excessive concentrations of corrosive or irritating gas, vapor, fumes, or dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrupt onset of symptoms within minutes or hours (always within 24 h), requiring medical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence of asthma-like symptoms such as chest tightness, cough, or dyspnea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or reversible airflow pattern on spirometry; reversibility is generally less than that seen in immunologically induced occupational asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathologic study showing minimal lymphocytic inflammation without eosinophilia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The common etiologic agents causing RADS are been gases such as chlorine, sulfur dioxide and phosgen. Metal fumes such as zinc oxide, often used in welding, have also commonly caused RADS in welders. Smoke inhalation by fire victims and fire fighters have also frequently been reported in the literature. Because toxic inhalation exposure is often encountered in the workplace, this syndrome is classified as a form of occupational asthma. There are two types of occupational asthma that are distinguished by whether they appear after a latency period. The first, with a latency period, encompasses all instances of immunologic asthma and includes most high and some low molecular weight agents. The other type, without latency, is best illustrated by irritant-induced asthma or RADS. Some agents, such as toluene diisocyanate (TDI) may cause both types of occupational asthma, depending on the exposure conditions. The pathogenesis of RADS is not clear and it was first speculated that it was due to airway inflammation. Alberts and Brooks have speculated that the high-level irritant exposure causes massive epithelial damage and destruction that was followed by the activation of nonadrenergic, noncholinergic (NANC) pathways via axon reflexes and the onset of neurogenic inflammation. Nonspecific macrophage activation and mast cell degranulation may also occur with the release of proinflammatory chemotactic and toxic mediators which enhance the recruitment of inflammatory cells to the site of injury and the subsequent profound inflammatory response is thus sustained. Following the epithelial injury and inflammation, the recovery phase begins with resolution of the inflammation, epithelial cell repair, and improvement in vascular integrity. However, the healing process causes persistent bronchial hyperresponsiveness.

Four major hypotheses have been proposed to explain the persistent BHR in patients with RADS. The first is that following the extensive inflammation, subsequent reepithelialization and neural innervation may result in an altered receptor threshold in the airways. The second hypothesis is that damage to the bronchial mucosa may lead to increased airway permeability which allows the inhaled material easier access to irritant receptors. The third is that inflammatory reactions in the airway may result in a massive release of mediators that alter smooth muscle responsiveness for a long period of time. The forth hypothesis is that airways inflammation persists.

The histopathologic findings of patients with RADS shows some evidence of airway inflammation but there is disagreement in various reports which may be due to the type and extent of the exposure, and the period of time from the initial exposure to the treatment employed, the diagnosis and biopsy.

The treatment of patients with RADS is no different from that of other asthmatics. In addition to relieving symptoms with inhaled bronchodilators, treatment should be directed at reducing the level of nonspecific BHR by corticosteroids. However, the patients with RADS are significantly less responsive to inhaled β₂ agonist than are patients with conventional asthma. Some suggest using inhaled ipratropium and corticosteroids for the first 3 months of therapy.

The long-term expected outcome for patients with RADS has not been well documented. Some have a regression of symptoms, some remain in stable
condition, and some progress with frequent asthmatic attacks.

Our patient had asthmatic symptoms for more than three years with inappropriate treatment. However, after prolonged administration of inhaled corticosteroids, he improved with fewer asthmatic attacks and there was significant improvement of BHR.

In conclusion, we report the first known case with RADS in Thailand. He developed asthmatic symptoms following a single exposure to fire smoke with high concentrations of toxic chemical substances such as formaldehyde, and methyl bromide during a fire at the chemical storehouse at Klong Toey Port. He had symptoms for more than three years, before he was documented with RADS with persistent BHR (PC_{20} > 0.5 mg/ml of methacholine). After the diagnosis, he was administered inhaled corticosteroids and ipratropium bromide in combination with β₂ agonist with marked improvement. Methacholine inhalation challenge was performed again after 6 months and it was found that the BHR was significantly improved with three doubling concentration increasing. We planned to administer inhaled steroids for two year to make the airway reactivity became normal and planned to follow the patient as long as possible.
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